

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,990		12/09/2003	Wade M. Mattar	12780-026001	2769	
26171	7590	09/28/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & R	ICHARD:	SON P.C.	RAEVIS, ROBERT R			
1425 K ST1 11TH FLO	,	<i>V</i> .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_		20005-3500		2856		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/729,99	0	MATTAR, WADE M.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert R.	Raevis	2856				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2004.							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b)[This action is no	on-final.					
*	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-14,18-26 and 29-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,15-17,27,28,36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) 🗌 🤄	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	.948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date 11 15 103			atent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election of Group I is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,15-17,27,28,36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cunningham et al in view of Wang et al.

Cunningham et al teach a method to calibrate a flow meter including: determining (col. 2, lines 15-22) the zero offset error for correction of flow measurement.

Cunningham then teaches (col. 2, lines 23-30) that changes in temperatures may cause the zero offset to drift over time, necessitating compensation for the drift.

Cunningham does not associate a calibration values (i.e. different zero values) with one of a plurality of operational parameters (i.e. a different temperature)), and does not store those two values for calibration.

Wang et al teach (col. 5, lines 31+, and continuing on to col. 6, line 6) that mass flow meters may be corrected for temperature variation by a determination of drift of offset with temperature.

As to claims 1,2,15,16,17,28 and 36, it would have been obvious to correct flow meter measurements (with an equation) for temperature variations because Wang teaches that equations permit for flow meter signal correction for variation in temperatures. In addition, it is known to employ look up charts (i.e. memory) and

interpolations/extrapolations as a means of determination of values in lieu of equation usage as chart usage permits for a more accurate means of determination due to the actual values employed/stored in the table.

As to claim 27, the meter, memory (for calibration values with their particular associated temperatures), and unit to provide for computation are all connected together.

Regarding Applicant's REPLY filed 9-16-04, consider the following:

As to p. 2, last paragraph, and continuing on to p. 4, top paragraph; Cunningham expresses (col. 2, lines 23-30) errors created in Coriolis flowmeters due to temperature shift which induce drift in zero offset, while Wang teaches that flowmeter measurements may be corrected for temperature variation via computation. Both references are directed to the flowmeter art, and refer to an offset problem created by temperature variation. While Applicant makes reference to a specific equation in Wang, Wang does suggest correction for temperature variation in flowmeters with calculation.

As to p. 4, top paragraph; note that the equation on col. 5,lines 40-45 of Wang indicates that the value of a flow sensor is a function of the offset. The exact relation that would appear n a Coriolus flowmeter is within one of ordinary art.

As to p. 4, third full paragraph; the Wang teaching is simple enough, and Wang is in the flowmeter art. Cunningham teaches (col. 2,lines 15-20) use of "zero offset" to determine flow, and Wang teaches use of different offsets for different temperatures.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 4pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAGUI)